University Academic Budget Commission Meeting,
Friday, February 24, 2014, 3:00 p.m., 2007, Ullsvik Hall

☒ Mike Compton (Chair, BILSA 2014)
☒ Les Hollingsworth (BILSA 2015)
☒ Brian Peckham (LAE 2015)
☒ Abulkhair Masoom (EMS 2016)
☐ Vacant (Academic Senate)
☒ Cathy Riedl-Farrey
☒ Lisa Merkes-Kress (Recording Secretary)

Guests:
☐ Vacant (Student Senate)
☒ John-Leonard Berg (KLIB 2014)
☒ Charles Cornett (EMS 2014)
☒ Ray Spoto (LAE 2016)
☒ Dominic Barraclough (Provost Rep)

Minutes

I. Call to Order
Chair Compton called the meeting to order at 3:04 p.m.

II. Approval of Minutes of February 10, 2014
Leonard-Berg moved to approve the minutes as presented, seconded by Peckham. Minutes approved.

III. Announcements
No announcements at this time.

IV. Academic Planning Council Report
Deans attended a meeting to discuss more on the review of form A. The process and trying to make it smoother was discussed.

V. New Business
1. Preparing for Form B (six year Review) of Engineering Programs
We have received information from budget office, which includes foundation information (3 years), and grant activity. The data on grant activity and foundation is hard to separate from the rest. The documents can be found in the shared drive.
S:\WGroups\Univ_Academic_Budget_Committee\UABC Working Documents\Program Reviews – Engineering.

- What format and information would we like to see on these spreadsheets, currently we have all of campus information?
- Will bring back more information for discussion at the next meeting.

VI. Unfinished Business
1. UABC purpose and Duties
   - One recommendation is to remove the word “academic” from our title, we will need to make a case to faculty senate the reasons why we are recommending removing “academic” from the title.
   - Should the members have some knowledge of budgets and budget language?
   - Need to take the time to review and be cognizant of the data presented to this committee when making recommendations.
   - What is the difference between a committee, a commission, and a council, and should we propose becoming a standing committee?
   - Do we need to review the prior years’ recommendations at the beginning of a new year, which would allow us to see how our recommendations were applied?
Discussion ensued about prioritization/reprioritization and immediacy regarding non-academic programs. An accountability group was established by APC last year. The group drafted a proposal based on the annual report from the administration. The intent was to include non-academic programs.

**MOTION:** Cornett moved to forward the recommended changes to faculty senate regarding the bylaws of the University Academic Budget Commission seconded by Spoto. Motion approved.

**VII. Next Meeting Date Monday, March 10, 2014**

**VIII. Adjournment**

Chair Compton adjourned the meeting at 3:59 pm

Respectfully submitted

Mike Compton, Chair

Lisa A Merkes-Kress, Recording Secretary