Minutes of the Registration Committee  
Wednesday, February 28, 2012

Members Present: Dave Kieckhafer, Tera Montgomery (Chair), Beth Frieders, Joe Clifton, Becky Doyle-Morin, Jason Thrun, Sheryl Wills, Joan Riedle

Guests Present: Joanne Wilson, Melissa Gormley, Angela Miller

1. The meeting was called to order at 3:15 p.m.

2. Becky moved approval of the December 7, 2011, minutes; Sheryl seconded; motion passed.

3. Our motion regarding the Repeat Policy is under discussion by the Academic Standards Committee. That motion specified that a course could be repeated only once for grade replacement. (Dean’s permission would be needed for waiver of the policy.) The question of what happens if a student repeatedly enrolls and then drops the course before a grade is assigned was raised but not resolved. Tera will check into the current status of this discussion.

4. Melissa Gormely was present to discuss a Faculty Senate motion regarding academic standing. Joanne Wilson and Angela Miller were in attendance to provide input on how their motion would impact other areas of the university.

Their motion reads “In the first 0 to 18 credits of coursework, a student with a GPA less than 1.0 is subject to dismissal, as student with a GPA of 1.0 or greater but less than 1.6 will be placed on first probation, and a student with a GPA of 1.6 or greater but less than 2.0 will be declared “at risk” but in “good academic standing.”

Discussion followed regarding confusion caused by the mixed message sent when a student is simultaneously “at risk” and in “good academic standing.” It was clarified that students need to complete 67% of the courses for which they register in a given semester or cumulative? successfully and that Freshman need to have at least a 1.8 GPA in a given semester or cumulative? in order to qualify for financial aide. It was also clarified that raising the semester GPA standard for dismissal from 0.75 to less than 1.0 would create very few additional appeals.

Our recommendations include that all students with a GPA of less than 1.0 be subject to dismissal (regardless of number of credits), that the upper end of the GPA range for first probation be raised to 1.79, that the lower end of the range for at risk be raised to 1.8, and that the reference to good academic standing for at risk students be struck.

A summary of our recommendations reads “A student with a GPA less than 1.0 is subject to dismissal, a student with a GPA of 1.0 or greater but less than 1.8 will be placed on first probation, and a student with a GPA of 1.8 or greater but less than 2.0 will be declared “at risk.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Semester GPA</th>
<th>Affected Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject to Dismissal; May appeal for Reinstatement on Final Probation</td>
<td>Less than 1.0</td>
<td>All Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Probation</td>
<td>1.0 or greater but less than 1.8</td>
<td>All Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk</td>
<td>1.8 or greater but less than 2.0</td>
<td>All Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Standing</td>
<td>GPA of 2.0 and above</td>
<td>All Students</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Joe moved and Beth seconded that we support the intent of the Faculty Senate proposal and send our recommendations to the Faculty Senate, Academic Standards Committee, and Student Senate. By this point in the meeting Sheryl and Jason had left. The motion was approved by the five remaining members.

Meeting adjourned at 3:54 p.m.  
Respectfully submitted by Joan Riedle