Academic Standards Committee
28 April 2010
2007 Ullsvik Hall

MINUTES

In Attendance: Kevin Haertzen, Mike Dalecki, Phil Sealy, Beth Frieders, David Krugler.
Guests: Rich Egley, Joanne Wilson

1. D. Krugler moved to approve the minutes of the 14 April 2010 meeting as distributed.
   Motion was seconded and passed.

2. Election of chair for next school year. It was mentioned that elections occur during the
   first meeting of ASC in the fall, once new members are established. It was agreed that P.
   Sealy serve as the convener of that meeting since his term is continuing.

3. Review of Student Senate meeting of 26 April and Faculty Senate meeting of 27 April
   during which the proposed ASC repeat policy was presented (D. Krugler reporting):
   a. Student Senate: Several astute concerns and questions were asked, including:
      what will faculty do to stop drops, when would this be implemented, what
      prevents a student from retaking a class several times earning an A each time to
      improve overall GPA.
   b. Faculty Senate: Issues were brought up, including: can our computer software
      handle/process what we are requesting, and the technical aspects of “after
      completion of 2 semesters at 12-credits” (several “what-if scenarios” such as
      students taking 11 credits/semester merely to avoid having this policy apply).
      This was tabled until the last Faculty Senate meeting, and two subcommittees
      formed (of R. Spoto, D. Rowley and C. Cornett; and of C. Curras, K. Wright and
      B. Collins) to make suggested changes and bring it to that final meeting. Note
      that a proposed repeat policy also was brought to Faculty Senate by the
      Registration Committee, which differed from ours. Both are being considered.
   c. R. Egley typed up and presented to us a summary of the discussion that occurred
      at Faculty Senate including two prevailing modifications made by senators that
      seemed to be favorable (the two subcommittees mentioned above –
      i. The first subgroup modified our proposal to state a 24-credit limit (rather
         than 2 semesters of 12 credits) of when students cold get a “free” repeat.
      ii. The second subgroup proposed a cap of 5 courses repeated where the
         repeat grade will replace the initial grade, after that all initial and repeated
         grades count in the GPA.

4. P. Sealy and M. Dalecki will attend the Academic Affairs sub-committee of Student
   Senate on May 3, taking the two modified Faculty Senate proposals for consideration
   (discussion, input). We hope that they select/vote for one and either endorse as is or
   endorse with modifications.

Respectfully submitted by: Beth Frieders