Present: Adam Stanley, Sydney Kronkow, Esther Ofulue, Tera Montgomery, Colleen McCabe, Ahyoung Kim, Cathy Cornett, Rea Kirk, Duane Ford, Kevin Haertzen, Beth Frieders, Joanne Wilson, Tom LoGuidice, George Smith; Guest: Valerie Wetzel

Meeting convened at 4:03 p.m. by Kevin Haertzen

Minute taker is Tera Montgomery.

Beth Frieders moved and Tom LoGuidice seconded to approve the minutes of the February 16, 2010 meeting with the following corrections: Rea Kirk made the observation that the OPID Undergraduate Teaching/Learning Grant Subcommittee approved the application contingent upon the suggested changes being made, in addition to appropriate signatures; Kevin Haertzen pointed out that the ILC would be reviewing CIF proposals but not SAIF proposals in the near future, as SAIF proposals do not come before this committee.

Announcements not on the Agenda:

No meeting during Spring Break or the 5th week of March, so the break until the next meeting will be longer than usual.

Provost Ford mentioned that the announcement for the TEC co-director positions has been posted. He asked everyone to think of qualified candidates and encourage them to apply.

Chancellor Search: The last candidate in the search was on campus today (March 2nd). The interview date with System was moved up to March 15th (from March 18th) which moves the Search & Screen Committee meeting with President Reilly up to this Friday (March 5th). Joanne Wilson will be present and the remainder of the committee will be convening via phone conference. All candidates are in other searches so moving up the recommendation and interview dates will hopefully help them choose UW-Platteville. Joanne Wilson encouraged everyone to put our feedback in. Many thanks were given to Joanne Wilson and the committee for all of their hard work.

Provost Ford was asked if there was any news on the Budget and he said there was not much information and a fair bit of pessimism. He does, however, feel optimistic about some of the approaches that System is taking.

Tom LoGuidice passed around a sheet of paper that had the attendance for the last two months of TEC activities. He also reiterated that all were invited to the March 5th Study Abroad event although there would not be enough lunches available for everyone. Two book club meetings are upcoming next week.
Provost Ford stated that he believes we need to be thinking about how we want to structure our TEC and other centers on campus to begin to address the issue of emerging technologies. Some consideration needs to be made to how we want to better utilize the expertise that we have on the campus already.

(It was pointed out by Beth Frieders that Section A of the Announcements in the March 2\textsuperscript{nd} Agenda read CIL/SOTL proposals and it should be CIF/SOTL.)

**Announcements:**

(a) **CIF/SOTL Proposals:** Sub-committee was announced and reminded that proposals would be coming March 23\textsuperscript{rd} and the ILC needs to act on the proposals at the April 6\textsuperscript{th} meeting.

(b) **UWP Award for Teaching Excellence:** Sub-committee was announced and told that applications would be in by March 24\textsuperscript{th} and they were to report at the April 20\textsuperscript{th} meeting.

(c) **UWP Service Learning Award:** Application deadline for this award is March 25\textsuperscript{th}. The sub-committee needs to report at the April 20\textsuperscript{th} meeting.

(d) **Distinguished Lecturer:** Sydney Kronkow and Joanne Wilson were added to the sub-committee that will work with Val Wetzel to bring suggestions for the 2011 Distinguished Lecturer before the ILC on May 4\textsuperscript{th}, the last meeting of the semester.

**New Business**

(a) **Valerie Wetzel, Distinguished Lecturer Program:** Valerie Wetzel stated that she has met with Faculty Senate and they have a sub-committee to try to ascertain whether cancelling classes is the right approach for the Distinguished Lecturer or if there is a better time, etc. Valerie Wetzel was advised of the ILC sub-committee that would be working with her.

There is a form on UWPLink for 2011 Distinguished Lecturer suggestions. The sub-committee will compile a list, talk with agents, consider the budget restraints, shorten the list to about a dozen possibilities, poll the students, and bring a few finalist names back to the ILC for ranking. After that it is a matter of schedules and further budget restraints to determine the next Distinguished Lecturer.

There was discussion about the possibility of changing times for the Distinguished Lecturer. Sydney Kronkow expressed her desire that the sub-committee approach the Student Senate in addition to the Faculty Senate to get their feedback.

Valerie Wetzel discussed her concern about students leaving the lecture before the Question & Answer session and talked about the use of “Kennedy’s Fantastic Five” for motivation. People
submit questions to start off the Q&A and five of the people who submitted questions will get a Kennedy poster, an autographed item, a button, and lunch with Mr. Kennedy.

Mr. Kennedy’s uncle, John F. Kennedy, spoke at UWP in the 1950’s. The podium he used will be used for the Distinguished Lecturer speech and there is work being done to find a picture from the Exponent of JFK to have framed and presented to Robert Kennedy at the time of the lecture.

An inquiry was made about the budget for the speaker. SUFAC and the Wilkes Fund split the budget of $30,000. There is also a little rollover cushion from previous years of being under budget.

(b) 2010-2011 UW System Grant, Closing the Achievement Gap: Beth Frieders talked about the pre-screening process that was agreed upon in the fall with regard to this grant, which has a rather lengthy application. The pre-screening would help narrow down the applicants rather than having to read a large number of complete applications.

Only two applications were submitted, both by Joe Lomax in Criminal Justice. The concept of both is to build success in under-represented students. One application proposed working with teacher of K-12 to allow them to educate all students on a more similar basis. The other proposal was regarding a Saturday Knowledge College designed for pre-K through 6th grades students. It would bring the community together to help these students start early in educating under-represented students.

The sub-committee found it challenging to determine whether the applications would be worthy from just one page. The dates required by Kathy Lomax and System are uncertain but it was suggested that the sub-committee forward their recommendations to Joe Lomax and suggest that he focus on just one of the applications.

This is a new grant for this committee to work on. Esther Ofulue asked what the sub-committee would like to see in the future and it was suggested that a 50% submittal would be useful to include a proposal description, justification, and brief budget to get a better idea of what the overall application would look like.

There is a requirement for institutional matches with a letter from the Provost and the timeline appears to be getting tight for this year. It was decided that the ideas were good but time might be an issue. It was agreed that the sub-committee make suggestions to Joe so that he would have time to implement changes before the applications were brought before the ILC on April 6th.

(c) Other: Kevin Haertzen requested that anyone willing to help review the CIF/SoTL proposals send an email to him so that the sub-committee could finish their work in time for the April 6th meeting.
Provost Ford asked that we start thinking about whether we are getting as much as we can from the Distinguished Lecturer Program as it is currently. Should we start to re-evaluate the who’s, what’s, when’s, and why’s of the Program. There was discussion about making an assessment of the Distinguished Lecturer Program. A survey after the lecture this year was suggested, possibly on-line to include those who attended and those who did not.

Discussion about the academic mission of the speaker resulted in comments that restraints on the process of getting the Distinguished Lecturer made it difficult to stick to a particular academic mission or theme.

Provost Ford mentioned that we should begin thinking about topics and speakers for convocation.

There was a move to adjourn the meeting made by George Smith, seconded by Beth Frieders– all in favor.

Meeting adjourned at 4:52 p.m.

**Next meeting is on Tuesday, April 6th.**